UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,620	01/30/2006	Matthias Winkel	ZAHFRIP815US	7373
	7590 05/27/200 D & Daniels, P.L.L.C.		EXAMINER	
112 PLEASAN	T STREET		LE, DAVID D	
CONCORD, NH 03301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/566,620	WINKEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David D. Le	3681			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ja</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examinet 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policinate and policinat	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/30/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the first Office action on the merits of Application No. 10/566,620, filed on 30 January 2006. Claims 12-22 are pending.

Documents

- 2. The following documents have been received and filed as part of the patent application:
 - Copy of Foreign Priority Document, received on 01/30/06
 - Information Disclosure Statement, received on 01/30/06

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed on 30 January 2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document.

Accordingly, the listing EP-1 134 111 A2 reference, which was submitted without a legible copy, has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the method of operating an automatic transmission as disclosed in paragraphs [016] to [031], does not reasonably provide enablement for the claimed method as

Art Unit: 3681

recited in claims 12-22. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claim 12 specifically recites the method steps of:

"Carrying out a downshifting during a compression braking operation which
continues up to attainment of a predetermined threshold speed and terminated by
engagement of a clutch located between a vehicle drive motor and the
transmission, and

When the downshifting is at a speed less than the predetermined threshold speed,
 terminating the downshifting by disengagement of the clutch."

The present specification does not support the termination of the downshifting with an engagement of the clutch and a disengagement of the clutch, as recited in the present claim 12.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 12-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12:

Claim 12 recites the method step of:

Art Unit: 3681

"Carrying out a downshifting during a compression braking operation which
continues up to attainment of a predetermined threshold speed and terminated by
engagement of a clutch located between a vehicle drive motor and the
transmission, and

When the downshifting is at a speed less than the predetermined threshold speed,
 terminating the downshifting by disengagement of the clutch."

It appears that the method steps are contradicting each other.

Claim 15:

• Line 5 recites the limitation "the vehicle steering mechanism". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20:

• Line 3 recites the limitation "the compression phase". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3681

9. Claims 12-22, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 6,497,635 to Suzuki (hereinafter referred to as Suzuki).

Claims 12-22:

Suzuki (Figs. 1-4; column 2, line 65 – column 9, line 61) discloses a vehicle transmission control apparatus comprising a control unit for carrying out a downshifting during a compression braking operation which continues up to attainment of a predetermined threshold speed and by engagement of a clutch located between a engine and a transmission, and when the downshifting is at a speed less than the predetermined threshold speed, terminating the downshifting by disengagement of the clutch.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Onimaru et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,842,952) teaches a control device for releasing an exhaust brake and engaging a lockup clutch simultaneously during a down shift.
 - Matsumoto et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,161,432) teaches an engine brake control system for automatic power transmission.
 - Iizuka (U. S. Patent No. 5,069,085) teaches a shift control system for automatic power transmission.

Art Unit: 3681

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David D. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7092. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (0900-1730).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David D. Le/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3681

05/22/2008

ddl